

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUN 09 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RAUL JUAREZ HERNANDEZ; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71291

Agency Nos. A79-538-216

A79-538-217

A79-538-218

A79-538-219

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 5, 2006 **

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges.

We have reviewed the response to the court's March 22, 2006, order to show cause, and we conclude that petitioners Raul Juarez Hernandez and Josefa Cortez Galindo have failed to raise a colorable constitutional claim to invoke our

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

06-71291

jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, we dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction with respect to petitioners Juarez Hernandez and Cortez Galindo. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

Petitioners Yesenia Juarez Cortez and Dulce Maria Juarez Cortez do not have a qualifying relative for purposes of cancellation of removal. Accordingly, the court summarily denies this petition for review with respect to these petitioners. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1089 (9th Cir. 2002).

DISMISSED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.